

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

MONDAY, THE 18TH DECEMBER 2006 / 27TH AGRAHAYANA 1928

WP(C).No. 31604 of 2006(K)

-----

PETITIONER:

-----

K. KURIAN ABRAHAM,  
KODIYATTUPURACKAL, NEAR PUSHPAGIRI MEDICAL COLLEGE  
THIRUVALLA, PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.G.SUDHEER

RESPONDENTS:

-----

1. STATE OF KERALA,  
REPRESENTED BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,  
URBAN AFFAIRS, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
2. DIRECTOR OF MUNICIPALITIES,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
3. DIRECTOR OF LOCAL FUNDS AUDIT,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
4. DEPUTY DIRECTOR OF LOCAL FUNDS AUDIT,  
PATHANAMTHITTA.
5. THIRUVALLA MUNICIPALITY,  
REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, MUNICIPAL OFFICE,  
THIRUVALLA.

BY ADV. SRI.S.SUBHASH CHAND, SC.THIRUVALLA MUNC  
BY GOVT.PLEADER SRI.BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION  
ON 18/12/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:

**K.K.DENESAN, J**  
-----  
**W.P.(C)NO. 31604 of 2006**  
-----

Dated this the 18<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2006

**JUDGMENT**

The grievance of the petitioner is that the respondents are not disbursing the pensionary benefits to him as per the revised pay scale. He has sought for a direction to the respondents to grant him the benefits within a time limit to be stipulated by this Court.

2. Learned counsel for the 5<sup>th</sup> respondent submits that appropriate action was taken by the Municipality promptly and papers were forwarded to the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent on 5.10.2006. Learned Government Pleader submits that the 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent Director of Local Funds Audit has called for the verification report from the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent Deputy Director and the same is awaited.

3. In the above circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of directing the 4<sup>th</sup> respondent to forward the verification report to the third respondent within two weeks from today. The third respondent, on receipt of the verification report, shall take expeditious steps and forward the necessary papers to the second respondent within three weeks thereafter. The second respondent, on receipt of the revised pension papers, verification report etc. from the third respondent shall consider the same and take decision as to whether sanction should be

accorded or not within one month from the date of receipt of the records from the third respondent. The orders passed by the second respondent shall be immediately communicated to the respondents 3 to 5 as also to the petitioner. Based on the orders issued by the second respondent, whatever benefits are due to the petitioner in terms of money shall be made available to him within one month thereafter.

**K.K.DENESAN, JUDGE**

css/

